

Erin Lee Truman
Nevada Bar No. 4668
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC
Peccole Professional Park
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
(702) 385-2500 phone
(702) 385-2086 facsimile
etruman@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC,
M'Life Nevada, LLC, Daniel Lutz,
and Darvin Gomez*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, a
Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

M LIFE, INC., a Nevada corporation; M'LIFE
WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; M'LIFE NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DANIEL LUTZ, an
individual, and DARVIN GOMEZ, an
individual,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:14-cv-01510-JAD-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR ALL
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT**

(Sixth Request)

Defendants M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC, M'Life Nevada, LLC, Daniel Lutz, and
Darvin Gomez (together, the "Defendants"), on the one hand, and Plaintiff MGM Resorts
International ("Plaintiff" or "MGM"), on the other hand, state the following:

1. The Complaint was filed on September 17, 2014. (Doc. No. 1.)
2. Defendants M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC; M'Life Nevada, LLC; were each
served with the Summons and Complaint on September 19, 2014. (Doc. Nos. 5, 6, & 7.)
3. Defendant Darvin Gomez was served with the Summons and Complaint on
September 24, 2014.
4. Defendant Daniel Lutz accepted service of the Summons and Complaint
effective October 10, 2014. (Doc. No. 9.)

1 5. To accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
2 resources, the parties agreed that each of the Defendants would have until November 10, 2014, to
3 file and serve their respective answer or other response to the Complaint (Doc. No. 9) (The “First
4 Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 10.)

5 6. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
6 resources, on November 10, 2014, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file
7 and serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from November 10, 2014 to November
8 24, 2014. (Doc. No. 19) (the “Second Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 22.)

9 7. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
10 resources, on November 24, 2014, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file
11 and serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from November 24, 2014 to December
12 15, 2014. (Doc. No. 21) (the “Third Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 24.)

13 8. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
14 resources, on December 15, 2014, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file
15 and serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from December 15, 2014 to January 9,
16 2015. (Doc. No. 27) (the “Fourth Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 22.)

17 9. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
18 resources, on January 9, 2015, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file and
19 serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from January 9, 2015 to February 9, 2015.
20 (Doc. No. 31) (the “Fifth Request”). The Court granted the request (Doc. No. 33.)

21 10. The parties continue to be engaged in meaningful settlement discussions which will
22 result in the dismissal of this action. Those discussions have resulted in an agreement in principal
23 and a first draft of a written settlement agreement that the parties’ counsel are working to finalize.

24 11. In light of the parties’ ongoing efforts to draft, finalize, obtain approval of, and
25 execute a final written settlement agreement, and, in-part, to accommodate the schedules of the
26 parties and their counsel in light of the press of business and travel schedules, the parties request,

27 ///

28 ///

1 and submit that good cause exists to extend the date for all Defendants to file and serve their
2 respective answer or other response to the Complaint from February 9, 2015 to March 9, 2015.

3 **IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED:**

4 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP

5
6 BY /s/ Erin Lee Truman
Erin Lee Truman
7 Peccole Professional Park
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200
8 Las Vegas, NV 89145

BY /s/ Jonathan W. Fountain
Michael J. McCue
Jonathan W. Fountain
Meng Zhong
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

9 *Attorneys for Defendants*
M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC,
10 *M'Life Nevada, LLC, Daniel Lutz,*
and Darvin Gomez

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MGM Resorts International

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

13
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15 DATED: _____
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 9, 2015, I filed a copy of the foregoing document entitled
**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT (Sixth Request)**, with the
Clerk of the Court via the Court's CM/ECF system, and served a true and accurate copy of the same
via First Class U.S. Mail upon the following:

Michael J. McCue, Esq.
Jonathan W. Fountain, Esq.
Meng Zhong, Esq.
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER, LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169
(702) 949-8200
FAX (702) 949-8398

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
MGM Resorts International*

/s/ Noel Raleigh
An employee of
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC